

SPEC SFS® 2014

Run and Reporting

Rules

Standard Performance Evaluation Corporation (SPEC)

7001 Heritage Village Plaza

Suite 225

Gainesville, VA 20155

Phone: 1-703-579-8460

Fax: 1-703-579-8463

E-Mail: info@spec.org

Copyright (c) 2014 by Standard Performance Evaluation Corporation (SPEC)

All rights reserved

SPEC and SFS are registered trademarks of the Standard Performance Evaluation Corporation

NFS is a registered trademark of Sun Microsystems, Inc.

Table of Contents

1	Overview	5
1.1	Definitions.....	6
1.2	Philosophy.....	6
1.3	Caveats.....	7
2	Results Disclosure and Usage	7
2.1	Fair Use of SPEC SFS® 2014 Results	8
2.2	Research and Academic usage of SPEC SFS® 2014.....	8
2.3	SPEC SFS® 2014 metrics.....	8
2.4	Full disclosure of benchmark configuration and results.....	9
2.5	Disclosure of Results for Electronically Equivalent Systems.....	9
2.5.1	Definition of Electronic Equivalence	9
3	Benchmark Software Requirements	10
3.1	Storage Solution Software	10
3.2	Benchmark Source Code Changes	10
4	Storage Solution Configuration and Protocol Requirements ..	10
4.1	Shared storage protocol requirements.....	10
4.2	Load Generator configuration requirements	11
4.3	Description of Stable Storage for SPEC SFS 2014.....	11
	Example:	11
	NFS protocol definition of stable storage and its use.....	11
	Example:	12
	SMB protocol definition of stable storage and its use	12
4.3.1	Definition of terms pertinent to stable storage.....	13
4.3.2	Stable storage further defined	13
4.3.3	Specifying fault-tolerance features of the SUT.....	14
4.3.4	SPEC SFS® 2014 submission form fields related to stable storage	14
4.3.5	Stable storage examples.....	15
5	Benchmark Execution Requirements	15
5.1	Valid methods for benchmark execution	16
5.2	Solution File System Creation and Configuration.....	16
5.3	Data Point Specification for Results Disclosure	16
5.4	Overall response time calculation	17
5.5	Benchmark Modifiable Parameters	17
5.5.1	BENCHMARK	17
5.5.2	LOAD	18
5.5.3	INCR_LOAD	18
5.5.4	NUM_RUNS.....	18
5.5.5	CLIENT_MOUNTPOINTS.....	19
5.5.6	EXEC_PATH.....	19
5.5.7	USER.....	19
5.5.8	WARMUP_TIME	19
5.5.9	IPV6_ENABLE.....	19
5.5.10	PRIME_MON_SCRIPT	20
5.5.11	PRIME_MON_ARGS	20
5.5.12	NETMIST_LOGS.....	20
5.5.13	PASSWORD	20
6	SFS Submission File and Reporting Form Rules	21

SPEC SFS® 2014 Run Rules Version 1.0

6.1	Submission Report Field Descriptions	21
6.2	Processing Elements Field Description	29
6.3	Memory elements field description	30
6.4	Solution under test diagram	31

1 Overview

This document specifies the guidelines on how the SPEC SFS 2014 Benchmark is to be run for measuring and publicly reporting performance results. These rules have been established by the SPEC SFS subcommittee and approved by the SPEC Open Systems Steering Committee. They ensure that results generated with this suite are meaningful, comparable to other generated results, and are repeatable (with documentation covering factors pertinent to duplicating the results).

This document provides the rules to follow for all submitted, reported, published and publicly disclosed runs of the SPEC Solution File Server (SPEC SFS 2014) Benchmark according to the norms specified and approved by the SPEC SFS subcommittee. These run rules also form the basis for determining which server hardware and software features are allowed for benchmark execution and result publication.

This document should be considered the complete guide when addressing the issues of benchmark and file server configuration requirements for the correct execution of the benchmark. The only other documents that should be considered are potential clarifications or interpretations of these Run and Reporting Rules. These potential interpretations should only be accepted if they originate from and are approved by the SFS subcommittee.

These Run and Reporting Rules are meant to provide the standard by which customers can compare and contrast solution performance. It is the intent of the SFS subcommittee to set a reasonable standard for benchmark execution and disclosure of results so customers are presented with enough information about the disclosed configuration to potentially reproduce configurations and their corresponding results.

As a requirement of the license of the benchmark, these Run and Reporting Rules must be followed. If the user of the SPEC SFS 2014 benchmark suite does not adhere to the rules set forth herein, SPEC may choose to terminate the license with the user. Please refer to the SPEC SFS 2014 Benchmark license for complete details of the user's responsibilities.

Per the SPEC license agreement, all results publicly disclosed must adhere to these Run and Reporting Rules.

The general philosophy behind the set of rules for benchmark execution is to ensure that benchmark results can be reproduced if desired:

1. All data published must be gathered from benchmark execution conducted according to the Run and Reporting Rules described in this chapter.
2. Benchmark execution must complete in its entirety and normally without benchmark failure or benchmark error messages.
3. The complete hardware, software, and network configuration used for the benchmark execution must be published. This includes any special server hardware, client hardware or software features.
4. Use of software features which invoke, generate or use software designed specifically for the benchmark is not allowed. Configuration options chosen for benchmark execution should be options that would be generally recommended for the customer.
5. The entire Solution under test (SUT), including all components and services, shall be generally available within 6 weeks of the first publication of the results. If the solution was not generally available on the date tested, the generally available solution's performance must meet or exceed that of the solution tested for the initially reported performance. If the generally available solution does not meet the reported performance, the lower performing results from the generally available solution shall be published. However, lower results are acceptable if the margin of error for peak business metric is less than one percent (1%) and the margin of error for overall response time is less than five percent (5%)

Products are considered generally available if they can be ordered by ordinary customers and ship within

a reasonable time frame. This time frame is a function of the product size and classification, and common practice. The availability of support and documentation for the products must coincide with the release of the products.

Hardware and software products must be generally available, as defined above, and still supported by their primary vendor.

In the disclosure, the submitting vendor must identify any SUT component that can no longer be ordered by ordinary customers.

1.1 Definitions

- *Benchmark* refers to the SPEC SFS® 2014 Benchmark release of the source code and corresponding workloads.
- *Disclosure or Disclosing* refers to the act of distributing results obtained by the execution of the *benchmark* and its corresponding workloads. This includes but is not limited to the disclosure to SPEC for inclusion on the SPEC web site or in paper publication by other organizations or individuals. This does not include the disclosure of results between the user of the benchmark and a second party where there exists a confidential disclosure agreement between the two parties relating to the benchmark results.
- *Publication* refers to the use by SPEC for inclusion on the SPEC web site or any other SPEC printed content.

1.2 Philosophy

SPEC believes the user community will benefit from an objective series of tests, which can serve as common reference and be considered as part of an evaluation process. SPEC is aware of the importance of optimizations in producing the best system performance. SPEC is also aware that it is sometimes hard to draw an exact line between legitimate optimizations that happen to benefit SPEC benchmarks and optimizations that specifically target the SPEC benchmarks. However, with the list below, SPEC wants to increase awareness of implementers and end users to issues of unwanted benchmark-specific optimizations that would be incompatible with SPEC's goal of fair benchmarking.

SPEC expects that any public use of results from this benchmark suite shall be for Solutions Under Test (SUTs) and configurations that are appropriate for public consumption and comparison. Thus, it is also required that:

- Hardware and software used to run this benchmark must provide a suitable environment for supporting the *specific application area addressed by this benchmark using the common accepted standards that help define this application space.*
- Optimizations utilized must improve performance for a larger class of workloads than just the ones defined by this benchmark suite. There must be no benchmark specific optimizations.
- The SUT and configuration is generally available, documented, supported, and encouraged in customer production environments for the workloads that were used in the publication.

To ensure that results are relevant to end-users, SPEC expects that the hardware and software implementations used for running the SPEC benchmarks adhere to following conventions:

- Proper use of the SPEC benchmark tools as provided.
- Availability of an appropriate full disclosure report.

1.3 Caveats

SPEC reserves the right to investigate any case where it appears that these guidelines and the associated benchmark run and reporting rules have not been followed for a published SPEC benchmark result. SPEC may request that the result be withdrawn from the public forum in which it appears and that the benchmarker correct any deficiency in product or process before submitting or publishing future results. SPEC reserves the right to adapt the benchmark workloads, and rules of the SPEC SFS 2014 benchmark as deemed necessary to preserve the goal of fair benchmarking. SPEC will notify members and licensees if changes are made to the benchmark and will rename the metrics. (e.g. from *SPEC SFS2014_vda* to *SPEC SFS2014_vdaX*).

Relevant standards are cited in these run rules as URL references, and are current as of the date of publication. Changes or updates to these referenced documents or URL's may necessitate repairs to the links and/or amendment of the run rules. The most current run rules will be available at the SPEC web site at <http://www.spec.org>. SPEC will notify members and licensees whenever it makes changes to the suite.

2 Results Disclosure and Usage

SPEC encourages the submission of results for review by the relevant subcommittee and subsequent publication on SPEC's web site. Vendors may publish compliant results independently, however any SPEC member may request a full disclosure report for that result and the benchmarker must comply within 10 business days. Issues raised concerning a result's compliance to the run and reporting rules will be taken up by the relevant subcommittee regardless of whether or not the result was formally submitted to SPEC.

A SPEC SFS 2014 result produced in compliance with these run and reporting rules may be publicly disclosed and represented as a valid SPEC SFS 2014 result. All SPEC SFS 2014 results that are submitted to SPEC will be reviewed by the SFS subcommittee. The review process ensures that the result is compliant with the run and reporting rules set forth in this document. If the result is compliant then the result will be published on the SPEC web site. If the result is found to be non-compliant then the submitter will be contacted and informed of the specific problem that rendered the result non-compliant.

Any test result not in full compliance with the run and reporting rules must not be represented using SPEC SFS 2014 metric names or other SPEC trademarks.

The SPEC SFS 2014 metrics *must not be associated with any estimated results*. This includes adding, multiplying or dividing measured results to create a derived metric. The actual, measured SPEC SFS 2014 result must be published in any disclosure. Any derived metric referencing a SPEC trademark may only be published as an addendum to the actual, measured SPEC SFS 2014 result that was produced in a compliant run.

2.1 Fair Use of SPEC SFS® 2014 Results

Consistency and fairness are guiding principles for SPEC. To assure these principles are sustained, guidelines have been created with the intent that they serve as specific guidance for any organization (or individual) that chooses to make public comparisons using SPEC benchmark results. These guidelines are published at: http://www.spec.org/osg/fair_use-policy.html

2.2 Research and Academic usage of SPEC SFS® 2014

SPEC encourages use of the SPEC SFS 2014 benchmark in academic and research environments. It is understood that experiments in such environments may be conducted in a less formal fashion than that required of licensees submitting to the SPEC web site or otherwise disclosing valid SPEC SFS 2014 results. For example, a research environment may use early prototype hardware that simply cannot be expected to stay up for the length of time required to run the required number of points, or may use research software that is unsupported and is not generally available. Nevertheless, SPEC encourages researchers to obey as many of the run rules as practical, even for informal research. SPEC suggests that following the rules will improve the clarity, reproducibility, and comparability of research results. Where the rules cannot be followed, SPEC requires the results be clearly distinguished from fully compliant results, such as those officially submitted to SPEC, by disclosing the deviations from the rules and avoiding the use of the metric names.

2.3 SPEC SFS® 2014 metrics

The format that must be used when referencing SPEC SFS 2014 benchmark results depends on the workload. The metrics for each workload are as follows:

Workload	Business Metric	Workload Metric
DATABASE	DATABASES	SPEC SFS2014_database
SWBUILD	BUILDS	SPEC SFS2014_swbuild
VDA	STREAMS	SPEC SFS2014_vda
VDI	DESKTOPS	SPEC SFS2014_vdi

The format to be used for a short disclosure string is:

“XXX SPEC SFS2014_ *workloadname* *Business_metric* with an overall response time of *YYY* ms”

e.g.

“205 SPEC SFS2014_vda STREAMS with an overall response time of 2.05 ms”

The XXX should be replaced with the Business_metric value obtained from the right most data point of the Business_metric/response time curve generated by the benchmark. The YYY should be replaced with the overall response time value as generated by the benchmark reporting tools.

A result is only valid for the SPEC SFS 2014 workload that is stated. One cannot compare results of different SPEC SFS 2014 workloads. Results from the different SPEC SFS 2014 workloads are not comparable to each other.

2.4 Full disclosure of benchmark configuration and results

Since it is the intent of these Run and Reporting Rules to provide the standard by which customers can compare and contrast storage solution performance, it is important to provide all the pertinent information about the system tested so this intent can be met. The following describes what is required for full disclosure of benchmark results. It is recognized that all of the following information cannot be provided with each reference to benchmark results. Because of this, there is a minimum amount of information that must always be present (i.e., the SPEC SFS 2014 metrics as specified in the previous section) and upon request, the party responsible for disclosing the benchmark results must provide a *full* disclosure of the benchmark configuration. Note that SPEC publication requires a full disclosure.

Section 6.1 defines the fields of a full disclosure. It should be sufficient for reproduction of the disclosed benchmark results.

2.5 Disclosure of Results for Electronically Equivalent Systems

The SPEC SFS subcommittee encourages result submitters to run the benchmark on all systems. However, there may be cases where a vendor may choose to submit the same results for multiple submissions, even though the benchmark run was performed on only one of the systems. This is acceptable if the performance reported is representative of those systems (e.g., just the power supply or chassis is different between the systems). These systems are deemed to be "electronically equivalent". A definition of this term which can be applied during SPEC SFS 2014 submission reviews is provided below.

As part of the subcommittee review process, the submitter should expect to be asked to justify why the systems should have the same performance. The subcommittee reserves the right to ask for a rerun on the exact system in situations where the technical criteria are not satisfied. In cases where the subcommittee accepts the submitter's claim of electronic equivalence, the submitter must include a line in the Other Notes section of each of the submissions for systems on which the benchmark was NOT run. For example, if a submitter submits the same results for Model A and Model B, and the benchmark run was performed on Model A, the Model B submission should include a note like the following:

"The benchmark run was performed on a Vendor's Model A system. Vendor's Model A and Vendor's Model B systems are electronically equivalent."

2.5.1 Definition of Electronic Equivalence

For the purpose of SPEC SFS 2014 benchmarking, the basic characteristic of electronically equivalent systems is that there are no noticeable differences in the behavior of the systems under the same environmental conditions specifically in terms of SPEC SFS 2014 benchmark performance, down to the level of electronic signals.

Examples of when systems are considered to be electronically equivalent include:

- ✓ Packaging - for example a system that is sold as both a desk side system and rack mount system (where the only difference is the casing) would be considered electronically equivalent. Another example is systems that are sold in a large case (to allow installation of disks internally) and a small case (which requires an external case for disks) but which are otherwise identical.

- ✓ Naming - for example a system where the vendor has changed the name and/or model number and face plate without changing the internal hardware is considered electronically equivalent.

Examples of when systems are not considered electronically equivalent include:

- ✓ Different number or types of slots or buses - even if unused, hardware differences such as these may change the behavior of the system at peak performance. These systems are usually referred to as 'functionally equivalent'.
- ✓ Vendor fails to convince the committee on technical merits that the systems are electronically equivalent.

3 Benchmark Software Requirements

3.1 Storage Solution Software

The solution must have sufficient software installed to be able to access stable storage.

Use of benchmark specific software components or optimizations that are not recommended for end user production solutions are not allowed anywhere in the solution under test.

3.2 Benchmark Source Code Changes

SPEC permits minimal performance-neutral portability changes of the benchmark source. When benchmark source changes are made, an enumeration of the modifications and the specific source changes must be submitted to SPEC prior to result publication. All modifications must be reviewed and deemed *performance neutral* by the SFS subcommittee. Results requiring such modifications cannot be published until such time that the SFS subcommittee accepts the modifications as performance neutral.

Source code changes required for standards compliance must be reported to SPEC. Appropriate standards documents must be cited. SPEC may consider incorporating such changes in future releases. Whenever possible, SPEC will strive to develop and enhance the benchmark to be standards-compliant.

Portability changes will generally be allowed if, without the modification, the:

1. Benchmark source will not compile,
2. Benchmark does not execute, or,
3. Benchmark produces results which are incorrectly marked INVALID

4 Storage Solution Configuration and Protocol Requirements

4.1 Shared storage protocol requirements

If a SUT claims a shared storage protocol, for example NFS or SMB, the SUT must adhere to all mandatory parts of the protocol specification.

Examples:

- If the protocol requires UNICODE, then the SUT must support this capability.
- If the protocol used is NFSv3 then the SUT must be compliant with the standard that defines this protocol.

The server must pass the benchmark validation for the tested workload(s). Any protocol used to provide access to benchmark's data must be disclosed.

4.2 Load Generator configuration requirements

Mixing Windows and Unix clients is not supported. Other heterogeneous environments are likely to work but have not been tested.

4.3 Description of Stable Storage for SPEC SFS 2014

For a benchmark result to be eligible for disclosure, data written to the API and acknowledged as stable must be in stable storage when acknowledged.

Stable storage is persistent storage that survives:

1. Repeated power failures, including cascading power failures
2. Hardware failures (of any board, power supply, etc.)
3. Repeated software crashes, including reboot cycle
4. A minimum of 72 hours without external power

This definition does not address failure of the persistent storage itself. For example, failures of disks or nonvolatile RAM modules are not addressed in the definition of stable storage. For clarification, the following references and further definition is provided and must be followed for results to be disclosed.

Example:

NFS protocol definition of stable storage and its use

>From Pages 101-102 in RFC 1813:

"4.8 Stable storage

NFS version 3 protocol servers must be able to recover without data loss from multiple power failures including cascading power failures, that is, several power failures in quick succession, operating system failures, and hardware failure of components other than the storage medium itself (for example, disk, nonvolatile RAM).

Some examples of stable storage that are allowable for an NFS server include:

1. Media commit of data, that is, the modified data has been successfully written to the disk media, for example, the disk platter.
2. An immediate reply disk drive with battery-backed on-drive intermediate storage or uninterruptible power system (UPS).

3. Server commit of data with battery-backed intermediate storage and recovery software.
4. Cache commit with uninterruptible power system (UPS) and recovery software.

Conversely, the following are not examples of stable storage:

1. An immediate reply disk drive without battery-backed on-drive intermediate storage or uninterruptible power system (UPS).
2. Cache commit without both uninterruptible power system (UPS) and recovery software.

The only exception to this (introduced in this protocol revision) is as described under the WRITE procedure on the handling of the stable bit, and the use of the COMMIT procedure. It is the use of the synchronous COMMIT procedure that provides the necessary semantic support in the NFS version 3 protocol."

Example:

SMB protocol definition of stable storage and its use

The SMB2 spec discusses the following flags for write in section 2.2.21:

Flags (4 bytes): A **Flags** field indicates how to process the operation. This field MUST be constructed using zero or more of the following values:

Value	Meaning
SMB2_WRITEFLAG_WRITE_THROUGH 0x00000001	The write data should be written to persistent storage before the response is sent regardless of how the file was opened. This value is not valid for the SMB 2.002 dialect.
SMB2_WRITEFLAG_WRITE_UNBUFFERED 0x00000002	The server or underlying object store SHOULD NOT cache the write data at intermediate layers and SHOULD allow it to flow through to persistent storage. This bit is not valid for the SMB 2.002, 2.1, and 3.0 dialects.

And in the processing steps in 3.3.5.13:

If **Open.IsSharedVHDX** is FALSE, the server MUST issue a write to the underlying object store represented by **Open.LocalOpen** for the length, in bytes, given by **Length**, at the offset, in bytes, from the beginning of the file, provided in **Offset**. If **Connection.Dialect** is not "2.002", and **SMB2_WRITEFLAG_WRITE_THROUGH** is set in the **Flags** field of the SMB2 WRITE Request, the server SHOULD indicate to the underlying object store that the write is to be written to persistent storage before completion is returned. If the server implements the SMB 3.02 or SMB 3.1 dialect, and if the **SMB2_WRITEFLAG_WRITE_UNBUFFERED** bit is set in the **Flags** field of the request, the server SHOULD indicate to the underlying object store that the write data is not to be buffered.

See Microsoft SMB protocol specifications, for full description.

4.3.1 Definition of terms pertinent to stable storage

In order to help avoid further ambiguity in describing "stable storage", the following terms, which are used in subsequent sections, are defined here:

committed data – Data that was written to stable storage from a COMMIT type operation, such as fsync().

non-volatile intermediate storage – electronic data storage media which ensures retention of the data, even in the event of loss of primary power, and which serves as a staging area for written data whose ultimate destination is permanent storage. For the purpose of SPEC SFS 2014 submissions, NVRAM is non-volatile intermediate storage

permanent storage – magnetic (or other) data storage media which can retain data indefinitely without a power source

external storage service provider – A third party vendor that provides storage as a service. Example: Cloud storage service provider.

non-destructive failure – failure which does not directly cause data housed in intermediate or permanent storage to be lost or overwritten

transient failure – temporary failure which does not require replacement or upgrade of the failed hardware or software component

system crash – hardware or software failure which causes file services to no longer be available, at least temporarily, and which requires a reboot of one or more hardware components and/or re-initialization of one or more software components in order for file services to be restored

SUT (Solution Under Test) – all of the hardware and software components involved in providing file services to the benchmark. It includes the physical and virtual components of the load generators, storage media or external storage provider, and the entire data and control path between the load generators and the storage media or external storage service provider.

4.3.2 Stable storage further defined

SPEC has further clarification of the definition of the term "stable storage" to resolve any potential ambiguity. This clarification is necessary since the definition of stable storage has been, and continues to be, a point of contention. Therefore, for the purposes of the SPEC SFS 2014 benchmark, SPEC defines stable storage in terms of the following operational description:

The SUT must be able to tolerate without loss of committed data:

1. Power failures of the solution's primary power source, including cascading power failures, with a total duration of no longer than 72 hours.

2. Non-destructive transient failures of any hardware or software component in the SUT which result in a system crash. Multiple and/or cascading failures are excluded.
3. Manual reset of the entire SUT, or of any of its components involved in providing services, if required to recover from transient failures.

If the SUT allows data to be cached in intermediate storage, after a response to the client indicating that the data has been committed, but before the data is flushed to permanent storage, then there must be a mechanism to ensure that the cached data survives failures of the types defined above.

There is no intention that committed data must be preserved in the face of unbounded numbers of cascading hardware or software errors that happen to combine to prevent the system from performing any significantly useful work. Many solutions provide for further protection against some forms of direct damage to the committed data, but such fault-tolerant features are not a prerequisite for SPEC SFS 2014 result publication. Nevertheless, SPEC SFS 2014 provides a means of characterizing some of these fault-tolerant capabilities of the SUT via the questions listed in the next section.

4.3.3 Specifying fault-tolerance features of the SUT

The following questions can help characterize the SUT in terms of its fault-tolerance capabilities beyond those required for SPEC SFS 2014 result publication. You may consider including answers to these questions in the Other Notes section of the reporting form, however, you are not required to do so.

Can the SUT tolerate without loss of committed data:

1. Destructive hardware failures?
2. Destructive software failures?
3. Multiple concurrent failures of one or more of the above?

4.3.4 SPEC SFS® 2014 submission form fields related to stable storage

The following fields in the SPEC SFS 2014 result submission form are relevant to a solution's stable storage implementation, and should contain the information described herein:

1. Memory. Specify the size, type, and location of non-volatile intermediate storage used to retain data in the SUT upon loss of primary power. For example: (1) 256 GB battery-backed SDRAM on PCI card in the solution, (2) 64 MB battery-backed SRAM in the disk controller, (3) 400 GB on Hard Disk Drive in the Solution, (4) 80 GB UPS-Backed Main Memory in the solution, etc.
2. Stable Storage. Describe the stable storage implementation of the SUT. There must be enough detail to explain how data is protected in the event that a power or non-destructive hardware/software failure occurs. The description must at least include the following points where applicable:
 - a. Specify the vendor, model number and capacity (VA) of the UPS, if one is used.
 - b. Where does committed data reside at the time of a failure?
 - c. How does the SUT recover committed data?
 - d. What is the life of any UPS and/or batteries used to implement the stable storage strategy?

- e. How is the system protected from cascading power failures?
- f. If using cloud storage provider, describe how the SLA specifications and descriptions meet the stable storage requirements. This is not availability, but durability in the SLA.

4.3.5 Stable storage examples

Here are two examples of stable storage disclosure using the above rules. They are hypothetical and are not intentionally based on any current product.

Example #1:

UPS: APC Smart-UPS 1400 (1400VA)

Non-volatile intermediate storage Type: (1) 3 TB on Hard Disk Drive in the Server. (2) 1 TB battery-backed DIMM in the disk controller.

Non-volatile intermediate storage Description: (a) During normal operation, the server keeps committed data in system memory that is protected by a server UPS. When the UPS indicates a low battery charge, the server copies this data to local SAS drives. The value of the low battery threshold was chosen to guarantee enough time to flush the data to the local disk several times over. The magnetic media on the disk will hold data indefinitely without any power source. Upon power-up, the server identifies the data on the local drive and retrieves it to resume normal operation. Any hard or soft reset that occurs with power applied to the server will not corrupt committed data in main memory. (b) Committed data is also kept in a DIMM on the disk controller. (c) This DIMM has a 96-hour battery attached to overcome any loss in power. (d) If the disk controller NVRAM battery has less than 72 hours of charge, the disk controller will disable write caching. Reset cycles to the disk controller do not corrupt the data DIMM. Write caching is disabled on all disk drives in the SUT.

Example #2:

UPS: None

Non-volatile intermediate storage Type: 256 GB battery-backed SDRAM on a PCI card.

Non-volatile intermediate storage Description: (a) All data is written to the NVRAM before it is committed to the client and retained until the drive arrays indicate successful transfer to disk. The DIMM on the (c) NVRAM card has a 150-hour battery attached to overcome any loss in power. (b) Upon power-up, the server replays all write commands in the NVRAM before resuming normal operation. (d) The server will flush the data to auxiliary storage and stop serving NFS requests if the charge in the NVRAM battery ever falls below 72 hours. Write caching is disabled on all disk drives in the SUT.

5 Benchmark Execution Requirements

This section details the requirements governing how the benchmark is to be executed for the purpose of generating results for disclosure.

5.1 Valid methods for benchmark execution

The benchmark must always be executed by using the SfsManager on the prime client.

5.2 Solution File System Creation and Configuration

The components of the solution that hold the data and/or metadata for the file systems under test must follow the stable storage requirements detailed in the section 4.3 “*Description of Stable Storage for SPEC SFS 2014*” above.

It is not necessary to (re-)initialize the solution under test prior to a benchmark run. However, in the full disclosure report for a benchmark run, any configuration steps or actions taken since the last (re-)initialization must be documented for each component of the solution. The documentation must be detailed enough to allow reproduction of results. If the solution was initialized immediately prior to the benchmark run, then no additional documentation is required.

Components that do not hold the data and/or metadata for the file systems under test may be excluded from these documentation requirements, but a statement explaining that the component does not hold data or metadata for the file systems under test is required. Examples of such components include: non-adaptive network switches, local disks in load generators when they do not hold the file systems under test, or administrative infrastructure necessary for running virtualized environments (e.g. vCenter server).

For a component to be considered “(re-)initialized”, it must have been returned to a state such that it does not contain any cached data or metadata related to the file systems under test. For a SUT where some components are not fully under the control of the test sponsor, such as when cloud storage is being used, such components should be (re-)initialized to the fullest extent possible and documented. The steps used to (re-)initialize each component must be documented, except where excluded from such documentation above.

5.3 Data Point Specification for Results Disclosure

The result of benchmark execution is a set of business metric / response time data points for the solution under test which defines a performance curve. The measurement of all data points used to define this performance curve must be made within a single benchmark run, starting with the lowest requested load level and proceeding to the highest requested load level.

Published benchmark results must include at least 10 load points (excluding a business metric of zero). The load points should be as uniformly spaced as possible. Each load point must be within 30% of its nominal uniformly-spaced value. The nominal interval spacing is the maximum requested load divided by the number of requested load points. Note that this means the distance between zero and the first requested load point must also fall within the nominal interval spacing. The solution under test must be able to support a load of at least 10 business metrics to be publishable.

Any invalid data points will invalidate the entire run.

No manual server or testbed configuration changes, server reboots, or file system initialization (e.g., “newfs/format”) are allowed during the execution of the benchmark or between data point collection. If any requested load level or data point must be rerun for any reason, the entire benchmark execution must be restarted, i.e., the series of requested load levels repeated in whole. Note that if the SUT had been in a re-

initialized state before the previous run, it must be re-initialized again or additional documentation requirements will come into effect. See section 5.2 for more details.

5.4 Overall response time calculation

The overall response time is an indicator of how quickly the system under test responds to operations over the entire range of the tested load. Mathematically, the value is derived by calculating the area under the curve divided by the peak throughput. This calculation does not include an assumed origin point, only measured data points.

5.5 Benchmark Modifiable Parameters

The benchmark has a number of parameters which are configurable. These configuration parameters are set by using the `_rc` file on the prime client **Parameters outside of the set specified below may not be modified for a publishable benchmark result.**

Parameters which may be modified for benchmark execution:

5.5.1 BENCHMARK

Name of the benchmark to run. Valid values are: **DATABASE, SWBUILD, VDA, or VDI.**

5.5.2 LOAD

Each workload has an associated business metric as a unit of workload. The magnitude of the workload to run is specified with the **LOAD** parameter in units of the workload’s business metric. Valid values for **LOAD** are either a starting number or a list of values, all positive integers. If a single value is specified, it is interpreted as a starting value and used in conjunction with **INCR_LOAD** and **NUM_RUNS**. The following table shows the name for the business metric corresponding to each workload type.

Workload	Business Metric (LOAD parameter)
DATABASE	DATABASES
SWBUILD	BUILDS
VDA	STREAMS
VDI	DESKTOPS

If a list of values is specified, at least 10 uniformly spaced data points must be specified for valid benchmark execution. For more detail on the requirements for uniformly spaced data points, see section 5.3 “Data Point Specification for Results Disclosure” in the SPEC SFS® 2014 Run and Reporting Rules.

As a helpful guideline, some rules of thumb for the resources required per business metric for the different workloads:

Capacity requirements per business metric:
 DATABASE = 24 Gigabytes per DATABASE
 SWBUILD = 5 Gigabytes per BUILD
 VDI = 12 Gigabytes per DESKTOP
 VDA = 24 Gigabytes per STREAM

Client memory requirements per business metric:
 DATABASE = 55 Mbytes per LOAD increment
 SWBUILD = 400 Mbytes per LOAD increment
 VDA = 10 Mbytes per LOAD increment
 VDI = 8 Mbytes per LOAD increment

5.5.3 INCR_LOAD

Incremental increase in load for successive data points in a benchmark run. This parameter is used only if **LOAD** consists of a single (initial) value. To ensure equally spaced points, the value of **LOAD** and **INCR_LOAD** must be equal.

5.5.4 NUM_RUNS

The number of load points to run and measure (minimum of 10 for a publishable result). This parameter is used only if **INCR_LOAD** is specified.

5.5.5 CLIENT_MOUNTPOINTS

The list of local mount points, local directories, or shares, to use in the testing. The value of CLIENT_MOUNTPOINTS can take several different forms:

- UNIX style: client:/exportfs1 client:/exportfs2 ...
 - Used for local storage or mounted network shares
- Windows style: client:\\server\exportfs1 client:\\server\exportfs2 ...
- Use a file that contains the mount points: mountpoints_file.txt
 - When using an external file, the syntax for each line in the file is “client_name path”. The lines do not need to be unique. Example:

```
client1 /mnt
client1 /mnt
client2 /mnt
client3 /mnt1
client3 /mnt2
```

The business metric values are spread among the client mount points in the following way. If the number of items N in the CLIENT_MOUNTPOINTS list is greater than the business metric value L (the current value for LOAD), then the first L items from the list are used, one business metric value per client/mountpoint. If L>N, then the N+1 business metric value will wrap around to the beginning of the list and allocation proceeds until all L business metrics have been allocated, wrapping around to the beginning of the list as many times as is necessary.

Reminder: If using Windows load generators, the Prime client must not be listed in the CLIENT_MOUNTPOINTS list.

5.5.6 EXEC_PATH

The full path to the SPEC SFS 2014 executable. Currently the executable is called netmist for POSIX systems and netmist_pro.exe for Windows systems. The same path will be used on all clients, so the executable must be at the same path on all clients.

5.5.7 USER

The user account name, which must be configured on all clients, to be used for the benchmark execution. To specify a domain, prefix the user with the domain name, separated by a backslash. E.g. DOMAIN\User33

5.5.8 WARMUP_TIME

The amount of time, in seconds, that the benchmark will spend in WARMUP before initiating the measurement (“RUN”) phase. The minimum for publishable submissions is 300 seconds (five minutes). The maximum value for a publishable submission is 604,800 seconds (one week).

5.5.9 IPV6_ENABLE

Set to “1” or “Yes” when the benchmark should use IPv6 to communicate with other benchmark processes.

5.5.10 PRIME_MON_SCRIPT

The name of a shell script or other executable program which will be invoked during the various phases of the benchmark to control any external programs. These external programs must be performance neutral and their actions must comply with the SPEC SFS® 2014 Run and Reporting Rules. If this option is used, the executable or script used must be disclosed.

This is often used to start some performance measurement program while the benchmark is running so you can figure out what is going on and tune your system.

Look at the script “sfs_ext_mon” in the SPEC SFS 2014 source directory for an example of a monitor script.

5.5.11 PRIME_MON_ARGS

Arguments which are passed to the executable specified in **PRIME_MON_SCRIPT**.

5.5.12 NETMIST_LOGS

Set the path at which netmist should store client log files. The same path will be used on all clients. If this path is not set, /tmp/ or c:\tmp\ will be used.

5.5.13 PASSWORD

The password for the user specified in **USER**. (Only applicable when running on Windows platforms)

6 SFS Submission File and Reporting Form Rules

There are rules associated with the fields in the submission report and in the corresponding sections of the reporting form. Rules for valid and/or required values in the fields are described below. The description for the Processing Elements field is complex enough that it is contained in its own subsection after the table.

6.1 Submission Report Field Descriptions

Tag	Description	Valid Contents
specSFS2014	The entire set of information contained in an info file is covered under this top-level tag.	Does not contain a value.
. solutionInfo	The information about the solution in the report.	Does not contain a value.
. . vendorAndProducts	A collection of vendor, general product, and license info.	Does not contain a value.
. . . testedBy	The name of the SPEC licensee who is publishing this report.	String
. . . submissionTitle	The submission title for the publication.. Typically this is the component that is being featured in this publication.	String
. . . hardwareAvailable	The date all of the solution's hardware is available for the public to acquire (by purchase, lease, or other arrangement). Note that this is the latest availability date for the hardware components described in the Bill of Materials for the SUT.	String
. . . softwareAvailable	The date the solution's software is available for the public to acquire. Note that this is the latest availability date for the software components described in the Bill of Materials for the SUT.	String
. . . dateTested	The date the solution was tested.	String
. . . licenseNumber	The SPEC SFS 2014 License number for the company	String
. . . licenseeLocation	A free-form description of the Licensee's location (e.g. city, state, country).	String
. . . otherMarketingInfo	A free-form description of the component that is being featured in this publication. (Marketing text)	String
. . SUTBomList	The Bill of Materials for the SUT. This list should be sufficient for anyone to purchase and physically configure an identical system to the SUT. (Small components such as power and network cables that would be obviously necessary to complete a configuration may be left out as long as there are no performance-sensitive differences in part choices.) This should include the names and versions of any software used in the SUT.	Does not contain a value.

... bomItem	A single record in the SUTBomList.	Does not contain a value.
... quantity	The number of this item used in the SUT.	Integer
... type	The BOM item type of the associated BOM item - this designates what functionality this item provides to the configuration. Recommended values include but aren't limited to: Disk, Disk Enclosure, Disk Controller, FC Switch, Ethernet Switch, Server, Infiniband Switch, and Software, Cloud Services, External Services.	String
... vendor	A string that names the supplier of this component or service.	String
... model	The model number or name that uniquely identifies this item for ordering purposes. For services, include the marketing name or name of the SLA.	String
... description	A free-form description of the named item. For services, include the operational parameters in the agreement.	String
.. configDiagramList	A series of pictures that form a functional block diagram of the solution under test. All components described in the <i>SUTBomList</i> and how they are connected should be represented. Repeated components such as disk drives can be indicated with an ellipsis.	String
... configDiagram	A name and file name pair for one member of the list.	Does not contain a value.
... name	The name to appear in a link to a configuration diagram.	Does not contain a value.
... ref	The file name of the configuration diagram. Diagrams must be in a format that is compatible with modern web browsers. For example, JPEG, or PNG formats.	String
.. componentSoftwareList	List of physical or virtual software components.	Does not contain a value
.. environmentType	Physical or Virtual	String
... componentSoftwareItem	Information about the software running on the components of the solution being tested.	Does not contain a value.
... componentName	Name of the component. Examples include but are not limited to: switch, filer, array, load generator, disk, network switch, NIC, HBA, BIOS, BMC.	String
... softwareType	Examples include but are not limited to: Operating system, Filesystem, Firmware. Capture firmware versions where these are not implied by a higher-level hardware or software version.	String
... softwareVersion	The name and version of the software or firmware providing the service being used.	String

... softwareDescription	Description of the software functionality.	String
.. HWConfigAndTuningList	List of physical or virtual hardware	Does not contain a value
... environmentType	Physical or Virtual	String
... HWComponentConfig	Tuning information for the system under test.	Does not contain a value.
.... componentName	Name of component in the SUT	Does not contain a value
..... HWCompConfiguration	Component configuration and initialization information	String
..... HWTuningList	A sequence of descriptions of tunings used on the component.	Does not contain a value.
..... paramName	The name of the tuning parameter that was set. (e.g. TCP Offload, thermostat setting, irq coalescence, cpu speed/turbo mode, power management, drive spin-down policy, liquid nitrogen injection rate)	String
..... paramValue	The value to which the associated tuning parameter was set. (e.g. turbo mode disabled, thermostat set to 10 C)	String
..... paramDesc	A description of the effect of the associated tuning parameter.	String
... HWCompTuningNotes	A free-form text field for additional server tuning notes for the system under test. Note changes to any configuration files or non-standard options used here if they are not covered in the server tuning table. If any entry in the server tuning table needs further explanation, that explanation should go here.	String
.. SWConfig and Tuning	Tuning information for the system under test.	Does not contain a value.
... SWCompConfiguration	Component configuration and initialization information	String
... SWComponentName	Name of component or service in the SUT	String
.... SWTuningList	A sequence of descriptions of tunings used on the component.	Does not contain a value.
..... paramName	The name of the tuning parameter that was set. (e.g. NFS Threads)	String
..... paramValue	The value to which the associated tuning parameter was set. (e.g. 256 Threads)	String
..... paramDesc	A description of the effect of the associated tuning parameter.	String
... SWCompTuningNotes	A free-form text field for additional server tuning notes for the system under test. Note changes to any configuration files or non-standard options used here if they are not covered in the server tuning table. If any entry in the server tuning table needs further explanation, that explanation should go here.	String

... ServiceSLAs	If any component is a service then supply the agreement details for each component/agreement.	String
.. StorageAndFilesystems	A collection of information about the storage and filesystems in the SUT.	String
... StorageSetList	A list of groups of storage components in the SUT. A storage component provides durable storage. That is, it holds information including the filesystem data of the benchmark that persists beyond the loss of power to the SUT. All storage components in the SUT must be accounted for in the list.	Does not contain a value.
.... StorageSetItem	A collection of storage components in the SUT.	Does not contain a value.
..... quantity	The total number of storage components in this set.	Does not contain a value.
..... usableGib	The total size of the storage, in this set, in GiB of usable space, that is, space that is presented to the consumer. Usable space may be consumed by data or filesystem metadata. It does not include data protection overhead or any other information needed to make the group or an individual storage component usable. The size specified here must be in GiB, if greater than 1 TiB the value will be scaled in generated reports. For cloud storage, this must come from the SLA.	Integer
..... description	A free-form description of any important features of the collection such as the type of the individual disks and their data protection scheme. For traditional disks, and solid state devices, this should include their raw size, the rotational speed, and the kind of interconnect if not reported in the Bill of Materials. For external services it should include the available space, interconnect, bandwidth, SLA information.	Decimal
..... DataProtection	Describe data protection, and also describe if there is none.	String
..... StableStorage	Whether or not this storage is stable storage (yes/no) Description of stable storage of committed writes that meet the persistence requirements of the run rules (72 hours) for each StorageSet should be put into storageAndFsNotes if that StorageSet is claimed to be stable.	String
... fsInfo	Information about the filesystem(s) used in the test.	String
.... fsType	The name and version of the filesystem(s) type used in the test. Example: ext3, (ext3->NFSv3), (ntfs->SMB2), (WAFL-> iSCSI->ext3->smb2), (Uxfs->NFSv3->vmfs->ext3)	String.
.... fsQuantity	The number of filesystems, as defined by the solution, used in the test. Example: 3(Ext3), 2(iSCSI->ext3) & 2(ext3->NFSv3)	String
.... totalCapacity	The total usable capacity of the storage solution visible to the benchmark. You must specify units - for example, "760 GiB" or "4.5 TiB".	String

... fsCreation	A free-form description of how the filesystems were created including any specific options. Include any additional steps used to configure the storage underlying the filesystem.	String
... storageAndFsNote	An optional free-form block of additional information about the storage components and filesystem configuration.	String
.. TransportList	A sequence of descriptions of transport interfaces contained in the SUT.	Does not contain a value
.. environmentType	Physical or Virtual	
... TransportInterface	A transport interface in a component in the SUT.	Does not contain a value
... . TransportType	The type of transports supported., a protocol and a purpose. Examples: NIC_10Gbe Load generator, FCP SAN, NIC_1Gbe Load generator, Infiniband RDMA Storage server.	String
... . portsUsed	The number of ports on this interface used in the test. If the choice of ports is significant, then name the ports used in the notes.	String
... . interfaceNotes	A free-form description of additional information about the interface including any special configuration options used.	String
... transportConfigurationNotes	A free-form description of the transport configuration used in the system under test.	String
.. SwitchList	List of transport switches	Does not contain a value
.. environmentType	Physical or Virtual	
... switchItem	A switch	Does not contain a value
... . switchName	Identifier for this switch.	
... . switchType	Type of switch and purpose. Examples: 10Gbe load generator interconnect, 1Gbe load generator interconnect. Virtual_SAN Storage server.	String
... . switchPortCount	Number of ports used	String, (Integer, or dynamic)
... . switchPortAvail	Number of switch ports configured.	Integer
... . switchNotes	Other notes describing switch configuration. If uplinks are used, please document them here.	String
.. processingElements	Processing elements information for the SUT. See section 6.2 for details.	Does not contain a value
... environmentType	Physical or Virtual	

... processingElementList	A list of unique processing elements in the SUT.	Does not contain a value.
.... procElement	A unique processing element (general-purpose CPU, vCPU, ASIC, FPGA, etc.) in the SUT.	String
..... procLocation	Location or associated component. Example: Storage server, load generator.	String
..... quantity	Number of processing elements of the specified type.	Integer
..... type	The type of processing element, e.g., general-purpose vCPU, CPU, ASIC, FPGA, etc.	Integer
..... description	A description of the key technical characteristics of the processing element. A description of the memory contained within the processing elements does not need to be included here, but may be required in the top-level Memory field. Refer to that field for instructions. Manufacturer and model number.	String
..... processingFunction	A high-level description of the processing function(s) that the processing element performs, e.g., NFS, CIFS, TCP/IP, RAID, etc.	String
... procElementNotes	Any other relevant description of the processing elements, e.g., the location of the elements within the system architecture.	String
.. memory	A collection of information about every unique set of memory in the SUT for which the sum of the memory of that given type is greater than 2 percent of the total memory in the system. This should include such components as storage processors, RAID controllers, gate arrays, and TCP/IP-offload engines. It also includes the main memory, excluding processor caches, of all components of the SUT with the exception of components that only support administrative functions such as a remote console. Other exceptions may be considered by the review committee but should be requested prior to submission. Note that the greater-than-2%-limit applies to the set not an individual. If many individual components sum to greater than 2% of all memory in the system, then they should be included. Memory contained in an external service does not need to be reported. Example: Amazon S3 service. All that can be reported is the SLA, not the hardware details.	String
... environmentType	Physical or Virtual	
... memorySetList	A sequence of descriptions of distinct memory groupings in the SUT.	Does not contain a value.
.... memorySet	A distinct grouping of memory in the SUT.	Does not contain a value.
..... sizeGib	The number of Gibibytes of usable memory in this group - may be a fractional amount (e.g. 0.5, 1.5).	Float

SPEC SFS® 2014 Run Rules Version 1.0

. . . . quantity	The number of instances of this memory grouping in the SUT	Decimal
. . . . nonVolatile	NV - memory is nonvolatile - or V - memory is volatile. If NV is specified, then the memory group description text should explain how the persistence is accomplished and the time span of the persistence.	String matching pattern: ^N?V\$
. . . . description	A free-form description of this class of memory.	String
. . . memoryNotes	An optional free-form description of additional information about the solution or the overall reporting.	String
. . stableStorage	A free-form description of how the SUT conforms to the SPEC SFS 2014 Stable Storage requirement. (See <i>Description of Stable Storage for SPEC SFS 2014.</i>)	String
. . sutConfigNotes	A free-form description of additional information needed to reproduce the test using the above equipment. This is a description of the solution diagram.	String
. . otherSutNotes	An optional free-form description of additional information about the SUT.	String
. testInfo	Information about how the test was run.	Does not contain a value
. . dataFlow	Free form text section that describes the distribution of the load across the workdirs and filesystems. It would be helpful to reference config diagrams.	String
. . otherTestNotes	An optional freeform description of additional information about the test environment and/or execution.	String
. otherReportNotes	Optional free form description of additional information about the system for the overall reporting. Examples: Trademarks, copyrights.	String
. submissionInfo	Information about the SPEC result submission that is relevant to SPEC submission reviewers. This information is not displayed in the final submission reports.	Does not contain a value
. . submitterName	The name of the person submitting this SPEC SFS 2014 result.	String
. . submitterEmail	The email address of the person submitting this SPEC SFS 2014 result.	String
. . reviewersComments	Additional comments about the submission to help with the review process. This might include descriptions of tunables and other information that might be relevant during the review process.	String
. results	Results section	Does not contain a value
. . result	List of results	Does not contain a value
. . . valid	Whether the given data point in the results is valid. Can be Y or N.	String (Y or N)

SPEC SFS® 2014 Run Rules Version 1.0

... businessMetric	The requested business metric	Integer
... requestedOpRate	The requested Op rate.	Decimal
... achievedOpRate	The achieved Op rate	Decimal
... responseTime	The response time in msec	Decimal
... overallThroughput	The overall throughput in Kilobytes/sec	Decimal
... overallReadThroughput	The overall read throughput in Kilobytes/sec	Decimal
... overallWriteThroughput	The overall write throughput in Kilobytes/sec	Decimal
... runTime	Run time in seconds	Integer
... clientCount	Number of clients	Integer
... procPerClient	Processes per client	Integer
... avgFileSize	Average file size in kilobytes	Integer
... clientDataSetSize	Client dataset size in Mebibytes	Integer
... startingDataSetSize	Starting data set size in Mebibytes	Integer
... initialFileSpace	Initial file space in Mebibytes	Integer
... maxFileSpace	Maximum file space used in Mebibytes	Integer
... benchmarkName	Name of the benchmark metric. Example: SWBUILD, VDA, VDI, DATABASE.	String
... validRun	Marks run valid or invalid	String “” or “INVALID”
. resultCompliance	Information detailing the compliance or non-compliance of this result. (Reserved for use by the SPEC office)	Does not contain a value
.. compliantResult	Whether this SPEC result is compliant with the run and reporting rules. Can be Y or N. (Reserved for use by the SPEC office)	String (y/n)
.. nonComplianceReason	A free-form text description with details of why this result is non-compliant. (Reserved for use by the SPEC office) (Reserved for use by the SPEC office)	String.
.. nonComplianceRemedy	A free-form text description with details of what would make the result compliant. (Reserved for use by the SPEC office)	String.
.. nonComplianceNotes	A free-form text description with details of possible reasons this result might be non-compliant. (Reserved for use by the SPEC office)	String.

6.2 Processing Elements Field Description

The Processing Elements field should include a description of all the major processing elements involved in servicing the requests generated by the benchmark, and in producing responses to those requests. This description may include, but is not limited to, those elements involved in processing for the client file I/O requests.

An example of a typical system architecture showing processing elements that should be described is provided in the diagram below. The description does not need to include processing elements used solely for the purpose of system management activities that do not impact the processing of SPEC SFS 2014 requests in any way (e.g., monitoring control processors). Processing elements internal to switches do not need to be included.

These sub-fields show up in a table in the submission form and should include the following information about the processing elements:

- 1) **Item:** Item number, one row for each different type of processing element.
- 2) **Qty:** Number of processing elements of the specified type.
- 3) **Type:** The type of processing element, e.g., general-purpose CPU, ASIC, etc.
- 4) **Description:** A description of the key technical characteristics of the processing element. A description of the memory contained within the processing elements does not need to be included here, but may be required in the top-level **Memory** field. Refer to that field for instructions.
- 5) **Processing Function:** A high-level description of the processing function(s) that the processing element performs, e.g., NFS, CIFS, TCP/IP, RAID, Wan acceleration, etc.

If the processing elements are general purpose CPUs (or processors), the **Qty** field should contain the number of processors in the system. It is assumed that processors can be described as containing one or more "chips", each of which contains some number of "cores", each of which can run some number of hardware "threads". Therefore, the following characteristics of the CPUs should be provided under **Description**:

- 1) **Name:** A manufacturer-determined processor formal name.
- 2) **Speed:** A numeric value expressed in megahertz or gigahertz. The value here is the speed at which the CPU is run.
- 3) **Cores Enabled.** Number of processor cores enabled during the test
- 4) **Chips Enabled.** Number of processor chips enabled during the test
- 5) **Cores/Chip.** Number of processor cores that are manufactured into a chip (irrespective of whether or not the cores are enabled)
- 6) **HW Threads/Core.** Number of hardware threads enabled per core during the test
- 7) **Characteristics:** Any other description necessary to disambiguate which processor is used, in case CPU Name and CPU Speed are not sufficient (e.g., L1/L2/L3 cache sizes, etc.)

If the processing elements are not general-purpose CPUs, e.g., application-specific integrated circuits (ASICs) such as FPGAs, the **Qty** field should contain the number of ICs (chips) used during the test. In addition, the **Description** field should include details on meaningful technical characteristics of the processing element, e.g., manufacturer part number, clock speed, etc. It does not have to be as structured a description as it is for general-purpose CPUs.

Any other relevant description of the processing elements, e.g., the location of the elements within the system architecture, may be included under **Processing Elements Notes**.

The diagram in section 6.4 is provided solely as an example to help identify processing elements that should be disclosed. It is not intended to represent the system architecture of any specific product. The

purple boxes are examples of the important processing functions to keep in mind when trying to identify the key processing elements in your SUT.

6.3 Memory elements field description

A collection of information about every unique set of memory in the SUT for which the sum of the memory of that given type is greater than 2 percent of all the memory in the system. This should include such components as storage processors, RAID controllers, gate arrays, and TCP/IP-offload engines. It also includes the main memory, excluding processor caches, of all components of the SUT with the exception of components that only support administrative functions such as a remote console. Other exceptions may be considered by the review committee but should be requested prior to submission. Note that the greater-than-2%-limit applies to the set not an individual. If many individual components sum to greater than 2% of all memory in the system, then they should be included. Memory contained in an external service does not need to be reported. Example: Amazon S3 service. All that can be reported is the SLA, not the hardware details. See purple boxes in diagram section 6.4.

6.4 Solution under test diagram

